




Ø Å Chat (8)








Page

+

(i) 🔒 | https://www.facebook.com/NotClarenceMoriwaki/


Messages




said nothing when GWR did this! And so on)


# Not Clarence Moriwaki of Bainbridge Island


@NotClarenceMoriwaki







€















parents. His parents faced barbed wire and guns.

**(i)** 

https://www.facebook.com/NotClarenceMoriwaki/





C

120%


Q


| Search         | ★ 🖻 🛡 🖡 🌴 🧳   | ● ■ = |
|----------------|---|-------|
|                |   |       |
| Home           | 12 Find Friends   |       |
|                | Settings Help -   |       |
|                | + Add a Button  |       |
| yet<br>e<br>ns | 1 Like 8 Comments<br>Like · Comment · Message   |       |
| ght            | People Also Like  |       |
| grit           | Sandy Marymee, Realtor<br>Region  |       |
| al's           | JA / EJ reunions<br>Community   |       |
|                | The Highway Preschool<br>School   |       |
| re             |   |       |
| e              | English (US) · Español · Português (Brasil) ·<br>Français (France) · Deutsch          |       |
| ble            | Privacy · Terms · Advertising · Ad Choices D ·<br>Cookies · More ·<br>Facebook © 2017 |       |
| -              | Chat (11)   | ⊠∳    |







Think Tonk












and the second se March 1997 Constraints of the second statistics


















to speculate, speculate about the internment of 320 million Americans!









will say anything. That is why we must judge them based on what they
















Dublished by Dishard Les [2] Eshrupy 09 at 0:11pm






Like · Reply · Commented on by Richard Lee [?] · March 2 at 4:14pm











| N. | Published | by | Richard | Lee | [?]. | February | 28 | at 7:39am |  |
|----|-----------|----|---------|-----|------|----------|----|-----------|--|
|----|-----------|----|---------|-----|------|----------|----|-----------|--|


Mada

















[2], March 8 at 8:02am











Bill of Rights to permit such tyranny.













member of the American polity is to curtail our own liberty. I am not




























+


Q



Frightening to see what two politicians did to bring back FDR's military prison camps, and it's incredibly sad that Clarence Moriwaki continued to


| Search  | ★ 自 ♥ ↓ ♠ ∢ (  |    |
|---------|--|----|
|         |  |    |
| Hom     | ie 12 Find Friends 🖳 🧭 🚱 斗 🔻   |    |
|         | Settings Help -  |    |
|         | + Add a Button   |    |
|         | 1 Like 8 Comments<br>Like · Comment · Message                                |    |
| วท<br>เ | People Also Like   |    |
| ıy      | Sandy Marymee, Realtor<br>Region   |    |
|         | JA / EJ reunions<br>Community  |    |
| I       | The Highway Preschool<br>School  |    |
| U       | English (US) · Español · Português (Brasil) ·<br>Français (France) · Deutsch |    |
| $\sim$  | Privacy · Terms · Advertising · Ad Choices D ·<br>Cookies · More -           |    |
|         | Facebook © 2017  |    |
| o       | Chat (7)   | 回臺 |









Published by Richard Lee [?] - February 27 at 6:29pm - 🚱



































## RENATOR ROLES STOPPED BY TO OFFER A








Like · Reply · Remove Preview · Commented on by Richard Lee [?] · February









回發










Assign be thinks it institutions that he compared and defende two politicians for




Like - Reply - Commented on by Richard Lee [2] - March 3 at 8:22pm









€




Like · Reply · Commented on by Richard Lee [?] · March 7 at 2:44pm

€
















eventhing he did was all talk. Like when he joked about using drones





Like · Reply · Message · 1 · March 11 at 5:27pm · Edited















Like · Reply · Message · March 14 at 6:05am

€













Like · Reply · Message · March 13 at 8:30pm















€















Published by Richard Lee 2. February 27 at 2.20pm .



























C.1Com


←







AND 0221 121 121 121

+







100+100 D A TRADE STATE AND A STATE AND









←












with the NDAA of 2012 that we now have to deal with...is that arrests

























en sen en la companya de la company







James M. Olsen President Roosevelt was a war-time president facing a




The U.S.S. Cole Bombers were Muslims




James can you confirm that you support Obama and Jay Inslee's NDAA of



























huse // freshest set / h Ed ZO/















Page

4

(i) 🔒 | https://www.facebook.com/NotClarenceMoriwaki/






Messages

## Not Clarence Moriwaki of Bainbridge Island

@NotClarenceMoriwaki







Would we accept Anne Frank's son on our island as President of the vocally supported neo-NAZI politicians who passed the Bring Back The Camps Act?





https://www.facebook.com/NotClarenceMoriwaki/#

























ike , Rooky , Message , March 2 at 12:50pm




avitiaining aithou of them f. Can Make









←



embarrassing for our community and it is, quite frankly, shameful and it

| Search     |  |    |
|------------|--|----|
| Но         | me 12 Find Friends 👥 🙆 🎧 斗 🖛   |    |
|            |  |    |
|            | Settings Help -  |    |
|            | + Add a Button   |    |
|            | 1 Like 8 Comments  |    |
| Y          | Like · Comment · Message   |    |
|            |  |    |
| for<br>d   | People Also Like   |    |
|            | Sandy Marymee, Realtor<br>Region   |    |
| be         | JA / EJ reunions<br>Community  |    |
| iot<br>:an | The Highway Preschool<br>School  |    |
| ed<br>1    |  |    |
| and<br>:0  | English (US) · Español · Português (Brasil) ·<br>Français (France) · Deutsch |    |
|            | Privacy · Terms · Advertising · Ad Choices P ·<br>Cookies · More •           |    |
|            | Facebook © 2017  |    |
|            | Chat (11)  | 回臺 |








Offers

Notes

Promote

4

(i) 🍋




district and state that voted for this horrendous bill, I do not feel that Clarence's well known political convictions are relevant here. He has not to date sought to make this a partisan issue. If he did, it does not paint the Democrat Party in a very good light anyways.

In our community, we all serve and come together with vastly different points views. Clarence is one of the most steadfast, accepting, generous, welcoming and hardworking volunteers in the community I know!

I find targeting him to be very troublesome!

You and I can agree that NDAA is an awful bill. We can be upset with Inslee,

| e Searc  | ch ★ 🖻 ♥ II ♠ 📣 O 🚺 🗮  |
|----------|--|
| Но       | ome 12 Find Friends 🔐 🤗 🚱 斗 🔻  |
|          | Settings Help -  |
|          | + Add a Button   |
| as       | 1 Like 8 Comments<br>Like · Comment · Message                                |
|          | People Also Like   |
|          | Sandy Marymee, Realtor<br>Region   |
| y        | JA / EJ reunions<br>Community  |
| ,        | The Highway Preschool<br>School  |
|          | English (US) · Español · Português (Brasil) ·<br>Français (France) · Deutsch |
| of<br>g, | Privacy · Terms · Advertising · Ad Choices D ·<br>Cookies · More -           |
| 5.       | Facebook © 2017  |
|          | • Chat (12)  |



Page

4

(i) A https://www.facebook.com/NotClarenceMoriwaki/

Not Clarence

@NotClarenceMoriwaki

Moriwaki of

Bainbridge

Island

Home

Posts

Videos

Photos

About


Likes

Offers


Notes


Promote


Messages























AND REPORT OF A VIEW AND A VI







Like · Reply · Commented on by Richard Lee [?] · March 2 at 7:10pm














Like · Reply · Commented on by Richard Lee [?] · March 3 at 8:30am ·

€




+







CITED IN THE REPORT OF STREET, SALES AND ADDRESS OF STREET, SALES AND ADDRESS A

https://www.facebook.com/NotClarenceMoriwaki/?ref=page\_internal




€








€





where the Japanese and Japanese Americans of Bainbridge Island












←




vour position that it's okay to violate our Constitution), then do you also

| e Sea     | arch | ★ 🖻 🛡 🖡 🛧  | ● 5 = |
|-----------|------|--|-------|
|           | Home | 12 Find Friends 👥 😋 🚱 🗛 🖛  |       |
|           | nome | 12 Find Friends  |       |
|           |      | Settings Help -  |       |
|           |      | + Add a Button   |       |
| VII<br>of |      | 1 Like 8 Comments<br>Like · Comment · Message                                |       |
|           |      | People Also Like   |       |
|           | _    | Sandy Marymee, Realtor<br>Region   |       |
|           |      | JA / EJ reunions<br>Community  |       |
| 1         |      | The Highway Preschool<br>School  |       |
| 00<br>st  |      | English (US) · Español · Português (Brasil) ·<br>Français (France) · Deutsch |       |
| say       |      | Privacy · Terms · Advertising · Ad Choices D ·<br>Cookies · More •           |       |
| m         |      | Facebook © 2017  |       |
|           |      | Chat (8)   | ⊠∲    |

Page

4

(i) 🔒 | https://www.facebook.com/NotClarenceMoriwaki/



Photos

Videos

Home

Posts

About


Likes


Offers


Notes




















a settor una so laisMa alldun a succha anu



Even better this new title answers the question "Who Should Be President of




4







Shannon Evans Dude, he is NOT the president of the Bainbridge Island neners American Evolution Memorial and beautithean for years the IC the





€



to prove auticle offers around auticle subsets les les Oce Mana










Not Clarence Moriwaki of Bainbridge Island Page **Publishing Tools** Insights Messages Notifications 📫 Liked 🔻 S Following -Share .... citizen posing no imminent threat outside of a war zone.) To those who Not Clarence see these things as one-offs, or an authority used rarely for some people who "probably deserved it," it is absurd to make such a big Moriwaki of deal out of supporting politicians who did it. (And on the detention front, President Obama is not alone; GWB did it, too.) But for those of Bainbridge us (myself included) for whom taking American life or liberty without Island due process is the cardinal and most unforgivable constitutional violation, it is not hyperbolic at all to make support for those @NotClarenceMoriwaki politicians, after this was revealed, a litmus test for credibility on civil liberties. (I too, supported President Obama - vociferously - in 2008.) Home I agree that President Trump now poses a threat that must be addressed. And I don't want to destroy all common cause with people Posts who might agree with me about him but who disagree about President Obama. But at the same time, when you have had to see what it is like Videos to actually speak truth to power (for me, vicariously, not personally), and to say no to some of these unconstitutional actions, and be the Photos only one to do so, and face the full power of the government, well - it becomes difficult, if not impossible, to excuse those who claim to care About about these things yet were not only not vocal about it, because they otherwise supported a party or a President - but continued their Likes support, when it would have cost them nothing to stand on principles that I think we actually all share. Like vindicating due process. Offers Like · Reply · Message · February 28 at 2:38pm Notes Shannon Evans you still have not edited this post for accuracy...unless that is your intent? Like · Reply · Message · February 28 at 2:44pm Promote Hyland Hunt Shannon Evans It is our intent to be factual and accurate.

Do you mean about the presidency of the memorial? I think the post says that he should not be president or board member, not that he is either of those things, but the most recent stuff online (which is admittedly from 2014) showed him as president. If you can point me to










Maj Richard L. Rynearson, USAF (ret) defended

4






€



That gives his continued support for politicians like President Obama





Bob Garrison February 27 at 7:00pm 🛞

You must be bored

1 Like 8 Comments



Like · Comment · Message

Kara E Hughes-Bowling likes Chronological • this.



Not Clarence Moriwaki of Bainbridge Island I'm not bored, I think it's important to discuss important topics in the public sphere. Ensuring that we don't let the concentration camps of the 1940s happen again (rather than simply saying "never again") is a vital discussion in our view.

Like · Reply · Commented on by Richard Lee [?] · February 27 at 7:10pm



Bob Garrison Having good a page devoted to attacking someone seems a bit sketchy.

Like · Reply · O 1 · February 27 at 7:11pm



Bob Garrison Not sure how the word good got in there

Like · Reply · February 27 at 7:12pm


ø



Not Clarence Moriwaki of Bainbridge Island Bob, do you think discussing the values and ideas of public figures is "attacking" them? Are you familiar with the page Clarence recommended that discusses James M. Olsen?

Civil discourse is vital in a democracy, Bob. You and I had a discourse on your FB wall before you defriended me (after I responded to your video and your commentary on Muslims at a baseball game not getting up for the national anthem, where I offered a differing view from yourself and suggested they were not any less American for not paying homage to the national anthem).

You may not agree with civil discourse about important public issues, but still I'm glad to see you are here engaging in civil discourse and offering a different perspective even though I don't agree with it. Disagreeing with somebody's ideas is not attacking them.



Like · Reply · Commented on by Richard Lee [?] · February 27 at 9:40pm · Edited



**Bob Garrison** He is a private citizen not a public figure. There is a big difference. You're a smart guy, use your knowledge to be constructive. Start a positive movement instead of fucking with people. Having discussions and disagreement are great but that doesn't seem to be your goal

Like · Reply · 🙆 1 · February 27 at



## Not Clarence Moriwaki of

Bainbridge Island So you think it was wrong for Clarence to invite others to a Facebook page dedicated to criticizing a James M. Olsen? I disagree with you there. I think Clarence having that page is fine and civil discourse is good.

James M. Olsen and Clarence Moriwaki both have pages discussing them as the public figures they are. Both have run for public office. Both were formerly running for public office.

Clarence, of course, is still a public figure moreso than Olsen. Clarence is in the media frequently representing this issue (badly) which is the interment of Japanese Americans and how we need to prevent it from happening again. I went to a movie theater to watch Allegiance and who was giving remarks (badly) to the entire theater? Clarence. He is a public figure. He is the face of the national park memorial. And if you look at his career, he's spent his career running for public office, in public office, or working for those in public office, or career, he's spent his career running for public office, in public office, or working for those in public office.

Sorry, Bob, but he's a public figure and he is even more worth of discussion than the page he sends people to in order to discuss his intellectual twin, James M. Olsen.

a public figure, either a public official or any other person pervasively inv public affairs, or. a limited purpose public figure, meaning those who has themselves to the forefront of particular public controversies in order to inf resolution of the issues involved.\*

Public figure - Wikipedia https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Public\_figure

Like · Reply · Commented on by Richard Lee [?] · February 27 at 7:33pm



Not Clarence Moriwaki of Bainbridge Island https://www.linkedin.com /in/clarencemoriwaki



Clarence Moriwaki |...

LINKEDIN.COM

Like · Reply · Remove Preview · Commented on by Richard Lee [?] ·

#### /in/clarencemoriwaki



### Clarence Moriwaki |...

LINKEDIN.COM

Like · Reply · Remove Preview · Commented on by Richard Lee [?] · February 27 at 7:34pm



#### Not Clarence Moriwaki of Bainbridge Island "Having

discussions and disagreement are great but that doesn't seem to be your goal."

You do realize Clarence chose this method of discussion, right? He was offered a choice and he chose this method. Maybe he prefers this method because it's the method he uses to discuss James M. Olsen? Like · Reply · Commented on by Richard Lee [?] · February 27 at 7:36pm



Write a comment...





#### FEB 27TH, 5:05PM

Actions **•** 

Richard its time to stop commenting on Clarence Moriwaki. Dude this is not cool or fair. The man you attack is gentle, kind, and patriotic. You seem to have him mixed up with someone else. We've had respectful conversations in the past. Please move on to another topic.





#### FEB 28TH, 1:40PM



I'm curious. As I understand your posts you opposed President Obama. Was NDAA the only reason?

> Who are you directing your question to, sir, and would you mind posting it on the page so that we can have transparency? We have more than one administrator and we like these topics about public affairs to be publicly available for comment.

> > Sent by Richard Lee [?]

Actions **•** 

f Not Clarence Moriwaki of B... 🛪

# Yes, the NDAA Authorizes the Military Detention of American Citizens and Lawful Permanent Residents

NOT CLARENCE MORIWAKI OF BAINBRIDGE ISLAND · FRIDAY, MARCH 3, 2017 🔮 11 Reads

And even if it did not change pre-existing law, the legislative history suggests congressional endorsement of a wrongheaded, unconstitutional interpretation of pre-existing statutes. It all leads to the same result: a congressional and executive imprimatur on unconstitutional detention of U.S. Citizens and legal permanent residents.

\* \* \* \* \*

A commenter on this page recently raised an important issue about the scope of the NDAA. He argued that the NDAA does not, in fact, authorize the detention of American citizens, although it does not foreclose the possibility, either, contending that Congress included language in the NDAA designed to leave the question of citizen-detention governed by pre-existing law. He cites a lawfare blog post that interprets the NDAA as not changing the law while stressing that the question whether citizens may be detained under pre-existing law is "unsettled." The lawfare post ably sets forth the arguments for those who interpret the NDAA not to have changed anything vis-à-vis American citizens.



C

120%

☆自

×

 $7 \dot{\varphi}$ 

f Not Clarence Moriwaki of B... 🛪

NDAA not to have changed anything vis-à-vis American citizens. https://www.lawfareblog.com/ndaa-faq-guide-perplexed.

The textual support for the "NDAA-changed-nothing" interpretation is section 1021(e), which provides that "Nothing in this section shall be construed to affect existing law or authorities relating to the detention of United States citizens, lawful resident aliens of the United States, or any other persons who are captured or arrested in the United States." Seems clear enough, right? A reasonable person could read it to mean the NDAA changed nothing. But: (1) There is substantial support for a contrary interpretation, laid out below, making section 1021(e) an ineffective protection for citizens at best. And (2), if the answer is "well, the NDAA did not change anything, it just carried forward an implicit detention authorization within the AUMF (Authorization for the Use of Military Force)," then – we're not any better off. And both President G.W. Bush and Obama asserted that the AUMF provided them the authority to detain American citizens without charge or trial.

**As to the first point**, the "NDAA-changed-nothing" interpretation is hotly contested, and in our view it is quite wrong. The findings of Senate Bill 5176, a proposed state bill that would prohibit Washington state officials from assisting with any federal military effort involving Washingtonians, set forth some of the evidence why the "the-NDAA-changed-nothing" interpretation is not the right way to read the law. Here are a few reasons why (drawing in part from the SB 5176 findings):

- Section 1022 of the 2012 NDAA specifically provides that "The requirement to detain a person in military custody under this section does not extend to lawful resident aliens of the



C



 $7 \dot{\varphi}$ 

☆ 自 ♥

- Section 1022 of the 2012 NDAA specifically provides that "The requirement to detain a person in military custody under this section does not extend to lawful resident aliens of the United States . . . " However, section 1021, unlike section 1022 of the 2012 NDAA, makes no specific exclusion for United States citizens and lawful 38 resident aliens for conduct occurring within the United States.

- President Obama issued a signing statement upon signing the NDAA into law indicating that he "will not authorize the indefinite military detention without trial of American citizens"; the language of the signing statement indicates that while the president claims he will not authorize the indefinite military detention without trial of American citizens, he believes that the office of president now possesses the authority to do so. Additionally:

- A signing statement is not binding, so it does not in any way constrain the authority conveyed by the NDAA. Much less does it constrain future presidential administrations.

- The signing statement references only citizens, not legal permanent residents of the U.S.

- While section 1021 of the 2012 NDAA seeks to preserve existing law and authorities pertaining to the detention of United States citizens, lawful resident aliens of the United States, and any other person captured in the United States, it does not specify what such existing law or authorities are. However, the office of the president of the United States, under both the administrations of G.W. Bush and Obama, has asserted the 2001 AUMF allows the office of the president to indefinitely detain without charge United States citizens

C Search

公自

C

×

7 P

under both the administrations of G.W. Bush and Obama, has asserted the 2001 AUMF allows the office of the president to indefinitely detain without charge United States citizens and lawful resident aliens captured in the United States. So the language within the NDAA referring to pre-existing authority means, in the executive branch's view, that the President has the authority to detain citizens and lawful permanent residents.

- When a group of journalists and activists challenged section 1021 in *Hedges v. Obama*, in the district court the government refused to commit to saying Chris Hedges' (an American citizen's) journalistic activities would <u>not</u> subject Hedges to detention under section 1021. Here is a quote from the district court opinion holding the law unconstitutional, which discusses this:

"At the March hearing, the Court asked whether Hedges' activities could subject him to detention under § 1021; the Government stated that it was not prepared to address that question. Id. at 245. When asked a similar question at the August hearing, five months later, the Government remained unwilling to state whether any of plaintiffs' (including Hedges's) protected First Amendment future activities could subject him or her to detention under § 1021. Tr. II at 142." (page 434).

If it did not apply to American citizens, the government could have ended the suit (at least for Hedges) by saying so. After losing the case, in a judgment in which the federal district court held the law was unconstitutional, the government stated that Hedges would not be detained for his journalistic activities, but the earlier position is indicative of the government's expansive view of its authority under the NDAA.

C Search

C

☆自

×

7 2

f Not Clarence Moriwaki of B... 🛪

expansive view of its authority under the NDAA.

Finally, there's the general principle of law that Congress is not presumed to do a futile thing. If the NDAA did not do anything beyond what the AUMF already granted (which is the government's position), then it would have been largely superfluous.

As to the second point, the "NDAA-changed-nothing" interpretation does nothing to eliminate the constitutional danger. The legislative history of the 2012 NDAA suggests congressional endorsement of the executive branch's view that the AUMF provides authority to detain citizens and lawful permanent residents within America. During debate within the Senate and before the passage of the 2012 NDAA, United States Senator, Mark Udall, introduced an amendment intended to forbid the indefinite detention of United States citizens, which was rejected by a vote of 38-60. So if you believe that Congress decided to just leave existing law as it is, you have to grapple with the fact that the majority of Congress believes that existing law (principally, the AUMF) already authorized the detention of U.S. citizens and lawful permanent residents, arrested in the United States, without charge or trial (as both the G.W. Bush and Obama administration claimed was the case, and as the Bush administration in fact did with Jose Padilla). That does not make the situation any better, and it leaves us in the same place as where we believe the correct interpretation of the NDAA leaves us: with a law on the books (be it the AUMF, the NDAA, or both) that a majority of Congress and the President (at least, the last president-and there is no indication of any changed view in the current administration) believes authorizes the detention of U.S. citizens and lawful permanent residents, in America, without charge or trial, until the cessation of hostilities-which effectively means indefinitely. That is unconstitutional, and we should

약 Search

☆ 自 ♥

×

7 2

C

(i)

(as both the G.W. Bush and Obama administration claimed was the case, and as the Bush administration in fact did with Jose Padilla). That does not make the situation any better, and it leaves us in the same place as where we believe the correct interpretation of the NDAA leaves us: with a law on the books (be it the AUMF, the NDAA, or both) that a majority of Congress and the President (at least, the last president–and there is no indication of any changed view in the current administration) believes authorizes the detention of U.S. citizens and lawful permanent residents, in America, without charge or trial, until the cessation of hostilities-which effectively means indefinitely. That is unconstitutional, and we should demand that leaders and public spokesmen on detention issues speak out against it, no matter who is running the federal government.





☆ 自 ♥

×

C